

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/571,664 | 05/03/2006 | Remus Ovidiu Cioloboc | 475.005 | 9737 |
| 47888 HEDMAN & C | 7590 12/28/2007 COSTIGAN P.C. | | EXAMINER | |
| 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | | KRAMER, DEAN J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| : | | | 3652 | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/28/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/571,664 | CIOLOBOC, REMUS OVIDIU | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dean J. Kramer | 3652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | . 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/10/06</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

10/571,664 Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The repeated usage of the indefinite phrases "may be", "where appropriate", and "optionally" in the claims renders the claims vague and confusing.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the phrase "such as" or "for example" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

There is no clear antecedent basis for "the receptacle" as recited in claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/571,664 Art Unit: 3652

4. Claims 1-6, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huegelmeyer et al. (5,174,620) in view of Kjaer (4,273,370).

The patent to Huegelmeyer et al. shows a pick-up device comprising fixing elements (72,73), articulated arms (4,5), a push button (25), a spring (26), a gear rack (21,22), toothed wheels (23,24), and a disposable bag (15). The Huegelmeyer et al. arms do not appear to have one arm moving inside of the other arm as is called for in claim 1 of the instant application.

However, Kjaer shows a waste collecting device having a pair of pivotable arms arranged so that one arm (2) moves inside of the other arm (1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to articulate the Huegelmeyer et al. arms (4,5) similar to the Kjaer arms so that one arm moves within the other arm thereby creating a wider opening for scooping up more waste material.

5. Claims 7 and 9, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huegelmeyer et al. in view of Kjaer as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Gruber (3,560,039).

Gruber shows a waste scoop assembly having a package of disposable units (14,T) affixed to the scooping device serving as "refills".

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a package of folded bags within a container mounted to the modified Huegelmeyer et al. device, as was presented above in section 4, as taught by Gruber so that a user would have a ready supply of bags at their disposal.

Application/Control Number:

10/571,664 Art Unit: 3652

6. Claim 10, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huegelmeyer et al. in view of Kjaer as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Lee (5,503,442).

Lee shows an animal waste pick-up device having a supply of refill bags (9) wound around a shaft and confined within a container (10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to mount a roll of refill bags within a dispensing container similar to that shown in Lee on the modified Huegelmeyer et al. assembly in order to provide a user with a ready supply of bags.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Specification

- 8. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is less than 50 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 15 and on page 2, line 16, the notations "? [question mark sic]" should be corrected. Also, the Brief Description of Figures 5-8 has not been included on page 3 of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3652

Drawings

10. The photographs labeled Figures 6 and 8 are not capable of being clearly scanned electronically to permit examination. Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit replacement drawing sheets will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

11. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the fixing elements (claim 1) and the disposable packaging units wound around a shaft (claim 10) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Priority

12. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 5,476,298 and 5,667,264 show a toothed rack engaging a gear segment on an arm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/571,664

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dean J Kramer

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

Djk 11/26/07